

# Characterization of pseudoconvexity through the disk property for open sets with $C^1$ smooth boundaries

Makoto ABE<sup>\*</sup>

*Dedicated to Professor Joji Kajiwara on his sixtieth birthday*

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  an open set with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary in  $X$ . Then  $D$  is pseudoconvex if and only if  $D$  has the disk property.

## 0. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  be an open set in  $X$ . Let  $\Delta := \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$ . As in [1] or [3] we say that  $D$  has the *disk property* if it satisfies the condition that if  $\varphi : \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map holomorphic in  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi(\overline{\Delta}) \subset \overline{D}$  and  $\varphi(\partial\Delta) \subset D$ , then  $\varphi(\overline{\Delta}) \subset D$ . If the open set  $D$  has the disk property, then  $D$  is pseudoconvex. But the converse is not true. For example the  $n$ -dimensional Hartogs triangle  $\{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |t_1| < |t_2| < \dots < |t_n| < 1\}$  is a Stein open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  which does not have the disk property ([1], p.184). The author [3] proved that if  $D$  is a relatively compact open set in a Stein manifold  $X$  such that  $(N(D), D)$  is a Runge pair, then  $D$  has the disk property. Here we denote by  $N(D)$  the schlicht Nebenhülle of  $D$ . The author [3] also remarked without proof that if  $D$  is a pseudoconvex open set with  $C^1$  boundary in a complex manifold  $X$ , then  $D$  has the disk property. In this paper we give a proof of this fact. More precisely we have the following theorem.

*Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  an open set with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary in  $X$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- 1)  $D$  is pseudoconvex.
- 2)  $D$  has the disk property.
- 3) If  $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic map such that  $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \overline{D}$  and  $\varphi(\Delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\varphi(\Delta) \subset D$ .

## 1. Preliminaries.

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  an open set in  $X$ .  $D$  is said to be *pseudoconvex* or *locally Stein* if for every  $p \in \partial D$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $D \cap U$  is Stein.  $D$  is said to be an open set *with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary* if for every  $p \in \partial D$  there exist an open neighbourhood  $U$  and a function  $h : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  of class  $C^1$  such that  $D \cap U = \{x \in U \mid h(x) < 0\}$  and  $dh \neq 0$  on  $U$ . We already gave the definition of the disk property in the introduction.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  be an open set in  $X$ . If  $D$  has the disk property, then  $D$  is pseudoconvex.*

PROOF. Take an arbitrary point  $p \in \partial D$ . Let  $U$  be a connected Stein open neighbourhood of  $p$ . Suppose that  $D \cap U$  were not Stein. Then  $D \cap U$  is not  $p_7$ -convex in  $U$  in the sense of Docquier-Grauert [5]. Let  $n := \dim U$ . There exist  $\varepsilon, g$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  which satisfy the following properties.  $P := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |t_j| < 1 + \varepsilon \ (j = 1, \dots, n)\}$ ,  $Q := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |t_j| < 1 \ (j = 1, \dots, n)\} \cup \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid 1 - \varepsilon < |t_1| < 1 + \varepsilon, |t_j| < 1 + \varepsilon \ (j = 2, \dots, n)\}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .  $g : P \rightarrow U$  is an injective holomorphic map such that  $g(Q) \subset D \cap U$ .  $g(b_1, \dots, b_n) \notin D \cap U$ ,  $|b_1| < 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\max_{2 \leq j \leq n} |b_j| = 1$ . Then  $\varphi := g(\cdot, b_2, \dots, b_n) : \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t| < 1 + \varepsilon\} \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic map such that  $\varphi(\overline{\Delta}) \subset \overline{D}$ ,  $\varphi(\partial \Delta) \subset D$  and  $\varphi(b_1) \in \partial D$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore  $D \cap U$  is Stein. It follows that  $D$  is pseudoconvex.  $\square$

For the proof of our theorem we need the following continuity theorem which is a generalization of 2.2 of Bremermann [4]. It is also the schlicht version of Proposition 3 of the author's [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let  $D$  be a Stein open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of the interval  $[0, 1]$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $f : \Delta \times U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  a holomorphic map. Let  $f_t := f(\cdot, t) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $f_t(\Delta) \subset D$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then it holds that  $f_1(\Delta) \subset \partial D$  or  $f_1(\Delta) \subset D$ .*

PROOF. Suppose the assertion false. Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$  such that  $f_1(\alpha) \in D$  and  $f_1(\beta) \in \partial D$ . The linear fractional function  $l : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $l(w) := (w - \alpha)/(\bar{\alpha}w - 1)$ , is biholomorphic. The map  $g : \Delta \times U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $g(w, t) := f(l(w), t)$ , is holomorphic. Since  $\Delta \times U$  and  $D$  are Stein,  $g^{-1}(D)$  is also Stein. We consider the distance  $\delta(w, t)$  from the point  $(w, t) \in g^{-1}(D)$  to the boundary  $\partial(g^{-1}(D))$  along the  $(1, 0)$ -direction. The function  $-\log \delta$  is plurisubharmonic on  $g^{-1}(D)$  (Theorem 4.22 of Hitotumatu [6], p. 74). The set  $W := \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid (0, t) \in g^{-1}(D)\}$  is an open neighbourhood of the interval  $[0, 1]$  in

$U$ . Let  $R(t) := \delta(0, t)$  for  $t \in W$ . The function  $-\log R$  is subharmonic on  $W$ . Since  $g(\Delta \times [0, 1]) = f(\Delta \times [0, 1]) \subset D$ ,  $\Delta \times \{t\} \subset g^{-1}(D) \subset \Delta \times U$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore  $R(t) = 1$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By Proposition préliminaire of Oka [8], p. 20,  $-\log R(1) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 1-0} (-\log R(t)) = 0$ . Therefore  $R(1) = 1$ . Since  $g(l^{-1}(\beta), 1) = f_1(\beta) \in \partial D$ ,  $(l^{-1}(\beta), 1) \in g^{-1}(D)$ . Therefore  $R(1) \leq |l^{-1}(\beta)| < 1$ . It is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. Theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  be an open set with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary in  $X$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- 1)  $D$  is pseudoconvex.
- 2)  $D$  has the disk property.
- 3) If  $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic map such that  $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \overline{D}$  and  $\varphi(\Delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\varphi(\Delta) \subset D$ .

**PROOF.** 1)  $\rightarrow$  3). We use the idea of the proof of Proposition 2.1 of Kerzman-Rosay [7], which asserts that a bounded pseudoconvex open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary is taut. Let  $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic map such that  $\varphi(\Delta) \subset \overline{D}$  and  $\varphi(\Delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\varphi(\Delta) \not\subset D$ . Then there exists a boundary point  $c$  of  $\varphi^{-1}(\partial D)$  in  $\Delta$ .  $p := \varphi(c) \in \partial D$ .  $n := \dim_p X$ . There exists a holomorphic chart  $(V; z_1, \dots, z_n)$  such that  $p \in V$  and that  $D \cap V$  is Stein. We may regard  $V$  as an open set of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Let  $T$  be the unit inner normal vector of  $\partial(D \cap V)$  at  $p$ . There exist an open set  $W$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $p \in W \subset\subset V$  and that  $x + \varepsilon T \in D \cap V$  if  $x \in \overline{D} \cap \overline{W}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ . There exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of the interval  $[0, \varepsilon_0]$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $x + \lambda T \in V$  if  $x \in \overline{D} \cap \overline{W}$  and  $\lambda \in U$ . There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $P := \{t \in \mathbf{C} \mid |t - c| < \alpha\} \subset\subset \Delta$  and  $\varphi(P) \subset W$ . The map  $f : P \times U \rightarrow V$ ,  $f(t, \lambda) := \varphi(t) + \lambda T$ , is holomorphic. Let  $f_\lambda := f(\cdot, \lambda) : P \rightarrow V$  for every  $\lambda \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ .  $f_\lambda(P) \subset D \cap V$  for every  $\lambda \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ . Since  $D \cap V$  is a Stein open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , it holds that  $f_0(P) \subset D \cap V$  or  $f_0(P) \subset \partial(D \cap V)$  by Lemma 2.  $f_0(c) = p \in \partial(D \cap V)$ . On the other hand  $f_0(P) \cap D = \varphi(P) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  since  $c \in \partial(\varphi^{-1}(\partial D))$ . It is a contradiction.

3)  $\rightarrow$  2). Clear.

2)  $\rightarrow$  1). By Lemma 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Abe, On the Nebenhülle, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. 36(1982), 181–184.

- [2] M. Abe, Tube domains over  $C^n$ , Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. 39(1985), 253–259.
- [3] M. Abe, On the Nebenhülle of an open set in a Stein manifold, The Bulletin of Oshima National College of Maritime Technology 24(1991), 125–129.
- [4] H. J. Bremermann, Die Holomorphiehüllen der Tuben- und Halbtubengebiete, Math. Ann. 127(1954), 406–423.
- [5] F. Docquier and H. Grauert, Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann. 140(1960), 94–123.
- [6] S. Hitotumatu, Theory of analytic functions of several variables (in Japanese), Baifûkan, Tokyo, 1960.
- [7] N. Kerzman and J.-P. Rosay, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques d'exhaustion bornées et domaines taut, Math. Ann. 257(1981), 171–184.
- [8] K. Oka, Sur les fonctions analytiques de plusieurs variables, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1961.