

1 *Original article*

2

3 **Amount of operative blood loss affects the long-term outcome**
4 **after liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma.**

5

6 Akira Chikamoto, Toru Beppu, Toshiro Masuda, Ryu Otao, Hirohisa Okabe, Hiromitsu
7 Hayashi, Shinichi Sugiyama, Masayuki Watanabe, Takatoshi Ishiko, Hiroshi Takamori,
8 and Hideo Baba

9

10 Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Sciences,
11 Kumamoto University.

12

13 Corresponding to:

14 Hideo Baba, MD, PhD, FACS.

15 Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Sciences,
16 Kumamoto University.

17 1-1-1 Honjo, Kumamoto, 860-8556, JAPAN.

18 E-mail; hdebaba@kumamoto-u.ac.jp

19 Phone; +81-96-373-5212

20 Fax; +81-96-371-4378

21

22 Running title; Blood loss of hepatectomy affects the outcome

23 Keyword; hepatectomy, operative blood loss, recurrence, HCC

24 Abbreviations: amount of intraoperative blood loss, ABL; overall survival, OS; disease
25 free survival, DFS

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background/Aim:** Numerous prognostic factors for HCC have been reported. Few literatures
3 have reported clinical significance of amount of intraoperative blood loss (ABL) for the
4 outcome after surgery for HCC. The aim of this study is to analyze of significance of ABL for
5 outcome after surgery for HCC.

6 **Patients and methods:** Total of 301 patients underwent liver resection for HCC between
7 January 1998 and June 2007 were included. Clinical and surgical characteristics were collected
8 and prognostic factors were identified using univariate and multivariate analysis.

9 **Results:** Impaired liver function (liver damage B), large tumor (> 36mm), multiple tumors,
10 existence of macroscopic vessel invasion, large ABL (≥ 700 ml), and replacement of red blood
11 cell were identified as independent prognostic factors for overall survival (OS). For disease free
12 survival (DFS), old age (> 66), male gender, impaired liver function, large tumor, multiple
13 tumors, existence of macroscopic vessel invasion, and large ABL were extracted. Limited to the
14 patients without blood transfusion, large ABL is associated with poor OS and DFS.

15 **Conclusions:** Large ABL could result in poor OS and DFS after liver resection of HCC in
16 patients without blood transfusion. Surgeons have to make the best effort to reduce ABL.

17

1 **Introduction**

2 Recently, the scene of treatment of HCC has greatly changed. Establishment of antiviral therapy
3 has brought a less occurrence of HCC (1), and radiofrequency ablation therapy has offered
4 satisfactory outcome to a subset of HCC patients (2). However, liver resection has still played a
5 great role as radical option in treatment of HCC. Although innovations of perioperative
6 management and surgical technique have made liver resection much safer, this surgical
7 procedure still includes risk for fatal complication. From the oncological aspect, replacement of
8 blood products could promote recurrence of cancers possibly because of immunosuppressive
9 state (3-5). In the liver surgery, however, there are a few literatures regarding association of
10 amount of blood loss and long-term outcome of operation for HCC (6-8). In this study, we
11 determined the significance of amount of blood loss and blood transfusion for long-tem
12 outcome after resection of HCC.

13 **Patients and methods**

14 Total of 301 patients underwent liver resection for HCC at the Department of
15 Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kumamoto University from
16 January 1998 to June 2007 were reviewed. Patient's and surgical data including age, gender,
17 status of hepatitis viral infection, liver damage, diameter of tumor, number of tumor, existence
18 of macroscopic vessel invasion, type of liver resection, weight of resected liver, operation time,
19 amount of intraoperative blood loss (ABL), and operation with or without transfusion of red
20 blood cell (RBC) and fresh frozen plasma (FFP) were collected. ABL was defined as total of the
21 amount in suction containers and the weight of laparotomy sponges at the conclusion of
22 operation. Liver damage was categorized according to the fifth edition of The General Rules for

1 the Clinical and Pathological Study of Primary Liver Cancer (9). Prognostic factors for overall
2 survival (OS) and diseases free survival (DFS) were identified by the univariate and
3 multivariate analysis. According to ABL, patients were divided into large amount of blood loss
4 group (L-ABL) and small amount of blood loss group (S-ABL). ABL of L-ABL was equal to or
5 more than 700ml, and that of A-ABL was less than 700ml. In all patients, OS and DFS were
6 compared between L-ABL and S-ABL. In addition, the same analysis was conducted limited to
7 patients who did not receive replacement of RBC.
8 OS and DFS were calculated Kaplan-Meier method. Comparison analysis related to OS and
9 DFS was determined by long-rank test. The independent significance of prognostic variables
10 was determined by multivariate analysis using the Cox's proportional hazards model. Only
11 potentially predictive factors on univariate analysis ($P < 0.05$) were entered into the Cox's model.
12 For all statistical analyses, a P value of less than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

13 **Results**

14 The clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. There were 250 male and 51 female,
15 with a median age of 64.2 years (range, 30-86). Ninety-nine patients were positive for HbsAg,
16 and 159 were positive for anti-HCV. Of 301 patients, 256 were classified as liver damage A, and
17 the rest were B. Mean tumor size were 46.3mm ranging from 9 to 220mm. Multiple tumor were
18 observed in 129. Perioperative parameters were also listed in Table 1. Anatomical resection was
19 performed in 187. Median ABL was 665ml (range, 15-6000ml). Of 301 patients, 55 received
20 transfusion of RBC and 74 administrated FFP.

21 The results of univariate analysis for OS and DFS were summarized in Table 2. Liver damage B,
22 large tumor (≥ 36 mm in maximum diameter), multiple tumor, existence of macroscopic vessel

1 invasion, large ABL (≥ 700 ml), and operation with transfusion of RBC and FFP were identified
2 as possible prognostic factors for OS by univariate analysis. For DFS, old age (≥ 66), male
3 gender, liver damage B, large tumor, multiple tumor, existence of macroscopic vessel invasion,
4 and ABL ≥ 700 ml were extracted. By multivariate analysis, liver damage B (hazard ratio
5 (HR)=2.116, $p=0.0032$), large tumor (HR=1.856, $p=0.0122$), multiple tumor (HR=2.288,
6 $p=0.0008$) and large ABL (HR=1.929, $p=0.0453$) were identified as independent prognostic
7 factors for OS. Liver damage B (HR=1.850, $p=0.0023$) and multiple tumors (HR=1.875,
8 $p=0.0003$) were independent prognostic factors for DFS, and large ABL did not reach to
9 statistical significance (HR=1.407, $p=0.0649$) (Table 3). Limited to the patients without blood
10 transfusion ($n=246$), 5-year OS for L-ABL ($n=94$) and S-ABL ($n=152$) were 54% and 69 with
11 the statistical significance ($p=0.0028$). Five-year DFS for L-ABL and S-ABL were 12% and
12 16%, respectively, and the difference was also statistically significant ($p=0.0016$) (Fig 1a and
13 1b).

14 **Discussion**

15 While radiofrequency ablation therapy for HCC has been prevalent worldwide, liver resection is
16 still curative therapeutic option (10,11). Particularly in the treatment of large HCC, surgical
17 resection plays a central role. A number of studies regarding prognostic factors for recurrence of
18 HCC after liver resection have published (12,13). We also have demonstrated the clinical
19 significance of preoperative doubling time of alpha-fetoprotein and protein induced by vitamin
20 K absence for early recurrence and poor outcome of HCC (14). In addition, many molecules
21 have been shown as predictors of recurrence of HCC (15-17). Since these prognostic factors are
22 patient or tumor dependent, however, it is impossible to alter the outcome after liver resection

1 by surgeons' hand.

2 Recently, Katz et al reported that operative blood loss was independent predictor for recurrence
3 and survival after resection of HCC (18). Although many reports have demonstrated that blood
4 transfusion could be a significant predictor (19-22), Katz's article is the first one showing the
5 impact of blood loss on recurrence and survival. In that report, they demonstrated a
6 "dose-response" relationship between higher levels of blood loss and disease specific survival.

7 We agree about the part that excessive blood loss is related to recurrence and survival. However,
8 the adverse effect of blood loss on the postoperative course is not clear because the patients
9 with a large quantity of blood loss are administered replacement of blood products. To clarify
10 the adverse effect of blood loss, the factor of blood transfusion should be eliminated. In the
11 current study, we clearly demonstrated the clinical significance of ABL on the outcome after
12 liver resection for HCC. It is notable result that adverse effect of blood loss was proved in the
13 patients without blood transfusion.

14 To reduce ABL is an endless challenge for surgeons. We have employed various techniques or
15 surgical devices, such as deep anesthesia with lower central venous pressure (21), reduction of
16 amount of intraoperative drip infusion until completion of dissection of liver parenchyma,
17 surgical patch coated with human fibrinogen and thrombin (23), liver hanging maneuver (24),
18 portal pedicle isolation method, and soft coagulation system for hemostasis. Although it is
19 difficult to elucidate the advantages of each of techniques or surgical devices we employed, the
20 rate of replacement of red blood cell has decreased from 33% to 24% in the recent series of 41
21 right-side major hepatectomies (submitted data).

22 Replacement of blood product could induce immunosuppressive state, and could promote

1 recurrence of HCC. But the explanation for why ABL affects DFS and OS after liver resection
2 is not cleared. Cue et al reported the association between blood loss and immunosuppressive
3 state in hemorrhage model of Lewis rats (25). They demonstrated that hemorrhagic shock and
4 not blood transfusion is a major determinant of the risk of infection. However, patients of large
5 ABL in our series seldom lapsed into hemorrhagic shock state. Although large ABL might
6 include a risk for spillage of tumor cell, the pattern of recurrence in most patients with large
7 ABL was not peritoneal dissemination but intrahepatic recurrence. Extensive peritoneal lavage
8 at the conclusion of operation is possibly able to prevent from establishment of peritoneal
9 dissemination (26). Some kind of immunoresponses can be associated with blood loss (27,28),
10 persuasive evidences have not been demonstrated. Multilateral research should be necessary to
11 clarify the mechanism of disadvantage of ABL against HCC recurrence.

12 In conclusion, large amount of intraoperative blood loss was an independent prognostic factor
13 for the OS after resection of HCC. This predictive power is valid for patients without any blood
14 transfusion. Liver surgeons have to minimize intraoperative blood loss by precise surgical
15 techniques for the better outcome of the hepatic resection for HCC.

1 **References**

- 2 1 Lim SG, Mohammed R, Yuen MF, Kao JH: Prevention of hepatocellular carcinoma in
3 hepatitis B virus infection. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;24:1352-1357.
- 4 2 Lau WY, Lai EC: The current role of radiofrequency ablation in the management of
5 hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2009;249:20-25.
- 6 3 Amato AC, Pescatori M: Effect of perioperative blood transfusions on recurrence of
7 colorectal cancer: meta-analysis stratified on risk factors. *Dis Colon Rectum*
8 1998;41:570-585.
- 9 4 Swisher SG, Holmes EC, Hunt KK, et al: Perioperative blood transfusions and
10 decreased long-term survival in esophageal cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*
11 1996;112:341-348.
- 12 5 Hyung WJ, Noh SH, Shin DW, et al: Adverse effects of perioperative transfusion on
13 patients with stage III and IV gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2002;9:5-12.
- 14 6 Jarnagin WR, Gonen M, Fong Y, et al: Improvement in perioperative outcome after
15 hepatic resection: analysis of 1,803 consecutive cases over the past decade. *Ann Surg*
16 2002;236:397-406; discussion 406-397.
- 17 7 Hanazaki K, Kajikawa S, Shimozawa N, et al: Survival and recurrence after hepatic
18 resection of 386 consecutive patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Am Coll Surg*
19 2000;191:381-388.
- 20 8 Sim HG, Ooi LL: Results of resections for hepatocellular carcinoma in a new
21 hepatobiliary unit. *ANZ J Surg* 2003;73:8-13.
- 22 9 Japan LCSGo: The general rules for the clinical and pathological study of primary liver

1 cancer (the 5th edition). 2008

2 10 Vivarelli M, Guglielmi A, Ruzzenente A, et al: Surgical resection versus percutaneous
3 radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhotic liver.
4 Ann Surg 2004;240:102-107.

5 11 Molinari M, Helton S: Hepatic resection versus radiofrequency ablation for
6 hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic individuals not candidates for liver
7 transplantation: a Markov model decision analysis. Am J Surg 2009;198:396-406.

8 12 Lu X, Zhao H, Yang H, et al: A prospective clinical study on early recurrence of
9 hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. J Surg Oncol 2009;100:488-493.

10 13 Huang J, Li BK, Chen GH, et al: Long-term outcomes and prognostic factors of elderly
11 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing hepatectomy. J Gastrointest Surg
12 2009;13:1627-1635.

13 14 Masuda T, Beppu T, Horino K, et al: Preoperative tumor marker doubling time is a
14 useful predictor of recurrence and prognosis after hepatic resection of hepatocellular
15 carcinoma J Surg Oncol 2009;in-press

16 15 Hu J, Xu Y, Shen ZZ, et al: High expressions of vascular endothelial growth factor and
17 platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor predict poor prognosis in
18 alpha-fetoprotein-negative hepatocellular carcinoma patients after curative resection. J
19 Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2009;135:1359-1367.

20 16 Wang XM, Yang LY, Guo L, Fan C, Wu F: p53-induced RING-H2 protein, a novel
21 marker for poor survival in hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection. Cancer
22 2009;115:4554-4563.

- 1 17 Shirakawa H, Suzuki H, Shimomura M, et al: Glypican-3 expression is correlated with
2 poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Sci* 2009;100:1403-1407.
- 3 18 Katz SC, Shia J, Liau KH, et al: Operative blood loss independently predicts recurrence
4 and survival after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2009;249:617-623.
- 5 19 Hanazaki K, Kajikawa S, Koide N, Adachi W, Amano J: Prognostic factors after hepatic
6 resection for hepatocellular carcinoma with hepatitis C viral infection: univariate and
7 multivariate analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:1243-1250.
- 8 20 Asahara T, Katayama K, Itamoto T, et al: Perioperative blood transfusion as a
9 prognostic indicator in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Surg*
10 1999;23:676-680.
- 11 21 Melendez JA, Arslan V, Fischer ME, et al: Perioperative outcomes of major hepatic
12 resections under low central venous pressure anesthesia: blood loss, blood transfusion,
13 and the risk of postoperative renal dysfunction. *J Am Coll Surg* 1998;187:620-625.
- 14 22 Makino Y, Yamanoi A, Kimoto T, et al: The influence of perioperative blood transfusion
15 on intrahepatic recurrence after curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J*
16 *Gastroenterol* 2000;95:1294-1300.
- 17 23 Berrevoet F, de Hemptinne B: Use of topical hemostatic agents during liver resection.
18 *Dig Surg* 2007;24:288-293.
- 19 24 Belghiti J, Guevara OA, Noun R, Saldinger PF, Kianmanesh R: Liver hanging
20 maneuver: a safe approach to right hepatectomy without liver mobilization. *J Am Coll*
21 *Surg* 2001;193:109-111.
- 22 25 Cue JI, Peyton JC, Malangoni MA: Does blood transfusion or hemorrhagic shock

1 induce immunosuppression? J Trauma 1992;32:613-617.

2 26 Kuramoto M, Shimada S, Ikeshima S, et al: Extensive intraoperative peritoneal lavage
3 as a standard prophylactic strategy for peritoneal recurrence in patients with gastric
4 carcinoma. Ann Surg 2009;250:242-246.

5 27 Jubert AV, Lee ET, Hersh EM, McBride CM: Effects of surgery, anesthesia and
6 intraoperative blood loss on immunocompetence. J Surg Res 1973;15:399-403.

7 28 Roumen RM, Hendriks T, van der Ven-Jongekrijg J, et al: Cytokine patterns in patients
8 after major vascular surgery, hemorrhagic shock, and severe blunt trauma. Relation with
9 subsequent adult respiratory distress syndrome and multiple organ failure. Ann Surg
10 1993;218:769-776.

11

12

13

1 Table 1. Patients and perioperative characteristics

	n	n
Age		Type of resection
≥ 66	151	anatomical
< 66	150	non-anatomical
Gender		WRL [¶]
male	250	$\geq 190g$
female	51	$< 190g$
HbsAg		Operation time
positive	99	$\geq 430min$
negative	202	$< 430min$
Anti-HCV		ABL [§]
positive	159	$\geq 700ml$
negative	142	$< 700ml$
Liver damage		Replacement of RBC [†]
A	256	yes
B	45	no
Tumor size		Administration of FFP [‡]
$\geq 36mm$	146	yes
$< 36mm$	155	no
Number of tumor		
solitary	172	
multiple	129	
Vessel invasion		
yes	33	
no	268	

2

3 ¶ WRL, weight of resected liver; § ABL, amount of intraoperative blood loss; † RBC, red blood
4 cell; ‡ FFP, fresh frozen plasma

5

6

7

1 Table 2. Univariate analysis of patients and perioperative characteristics

	Overall survival		Disease free survival	
	5yr OS	P value	5yr DFS	P value
Age				
≥ 66	57%	0.553	6%	0.016
< 66	51%		31%	
Gender				
male	52%	0.173	18%	0.008
female	64%		30%	
HbsAg				
positive	52%	0.386	25%	0.613
negative	56%		18%	
Anti-HCV				
positive	50%	0.496	17%	0.523
negative	60%		26%	
Liver damage				
A	41%	< 0.0001	21%	0.0007
B	33%		7%	
Tumor size				
≥ 36mm	50%	0.003	14%	0.002
< 36mm	58%		22%	
Number of tumor				
solitary	69%	< 0.0001	31%	< 0.0001
multiple	37%		7%	
Vessel invasion				
yes	40%	0.001	15%	0.031
no	56%		17%	

2

3

1 Table 2 (continued).

	Overall survival		Disease free survival	
	5yr OS	P value	5yr DFS	P value
Type of resection				
anatomical	49%	0.225	21%	0.112
non-anatomical	57%		9%	
WRL [¶]				
≥ 190g	53%	0.057	22%	0.234
< 190g	57%		12%	
Operation time				
≥ 430min	56%	0.078	24%	0.459
< 430min	51%		7%	
ABL [§]				
≥ 700ml	47%	< 0.0001	15%	0.0004
< 700ml	63%		17%	
Tranfusion of RBC [†]				
yes	31%	< 0.0001	20%	0.237
no	59%		19%	
Administration of FFP [‡]				
yes	37%	< 0.0001	24%	0.241
no	60%		18%	

2

3 ¶ WRL, weight of resected liver; § ABL, amount of intraoperative blood loss; † RBC, red blood

4 cell; ‡ FFP, fresh frozen plasma

5

1 Table 3. Multivariate analysis for outcome after liver resection

	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P value
Overall survival			
Liver damage B	2.166	1.296-3.622	0.0032
Tumor size (≥ 36 mm)	1.856	1.144-3.009	0.0122
Multiple tumors	2.288	1.409-3.715	0.0008
Vessel invasion (yes)	1.511	0.837-2.726	0.1707
ABL [§] (≥ 700 ml)	1.929	1.014-3.671	0.0453
Tranfusion of RBC [†] (yes)	1.587	0.794-3.173	0.1914
Administration of FFP [‡] (yes)	0.881	0.436-1.779	0.7235
Disease free survival			
Age (≥ 66)	1.249	0.889-1.755	0.1999
Gender (male)	1.424	0.864-2.347	0.1653
Liver damage B	1.850	1.246-2.747	0.0023
Tumor size (≥ 36 mm)	1.321	0.937-1.861	0.1120
Multiple tumors	1.875	1.336-2.631	0.0003
Vessel invasion (yes)	1.136	0.700-7.845	0.6057
ABL [§] (≥ 700 ml)	1.407	0.979-2.023	0.0649

2

3 § ABL, amount of intraoperative blood loss; † RBC, red blood cell; ‡ FFP, fresh frozen plasma

4

1 **Figure legends**

2 Fig 1a, Overall survival in the patients without blood transfusion.

3 Fig 1b, Disease free survival in the patients without blood transfusion.

4